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Responses should be sent to:

Thomas Cowsill
Jersey Finance Limited
4th Floor
Sir Walter Raleigh House
48-50 Esplanade
St Helier
Jersey
Telephone: +44 (0) 1534 836029
Email: thomas.cowsill@jerseyfinance.je

Alternatively, responses may be sent directly to the JFSC by 28 October 2016. If you require any assistance, clarification or wish to discuss any aspect of the proposal prior to formulating a response, it is of course appropriate to contact the JFSC.

The JFSC contact is:

Stephen Brennan
Senior Adviser, Policy
Jersey Financial Services Commission
P O Box 267
14-18 Castle Street
St Helier
Jersey
JE4 8TP
Telephone: +44 (0) 1534 822164
Email: s.brennan@jerseyfsc.org

It is the policy of the JFSC to make the content of all responses available for public inspection unless specifically requested otherwise.

It is the policy of Jersey Finance Limited (unless otherwise requested or agreed) to collate all responses and share them verbatim with the JFSC on an anonymised basis (with reference made only to the type of respondent, e.g. individual, law firm, trust company etc.) This collated, anonymised response will, typically, be placed in JFL’s permanent electronic archive which is currently open to all JFL members.
# Glossary of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amending Order</td>
<td>Financial Services (Financial Service Business) (Amendment No. 2) (Jersey) Order 201-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class O</td>
<td>the description of Class ‘O’ financial service business as set out in the Schedule to the FSB Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JFSC</td>
<td>Jersey Financial Services Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS(J)L</td>
<td>Financial Services (Jersey) Law 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSB Order</td>
<td>Financial Services (Financial Service Business) (Jersey) Order 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original CP</td>
<td>Consultation Paper No. 4 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback Paper</td>
<td>Feedback Paper on the Original CP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1 Executive Summary

1.1 Overview and background

1.1.1 In July 2012 the JFSC published the Original CP to seek views on proposed changes to legislation under which Industry is regulated. A copy of the Original CP may be viewed or downloaded from the JFSC’s website. Alternatively a hard copy may be obtained by contacting the JFSC.

1.1.2 One of the proposed amendments comprised a change to the description of Class O financial service business as set out in the Schedule to the FSB Order. The proposed amendment was included in the draft Omnibus Order Annexed to the Original CP, details of which were explained in Section 5.4 of the Original CP. In summary, the purpose to the rewording of Class O is to clarify the intended Jersey income tax residency requirements of the ultimate clients to whom the services are offered by Class O service providers.

1.1.3 Following such consultation, as set out in the Feedback Paper at Section 3.2, respondents agreed that the proposed new wording achieved the stated objective and generally supported the change.

1.1.4 However, as explained in Section 1.2.3 of the Feedback Paper, implementation of the amendment could not be finalised due to subsequent difficulties identified and so the revised definition of Class O was withdrawn from the Omnibus Order pending resolution of those difficulties.

1.1.5 The difficulties in question related to (hereinafter referred to as the “Difficulties”):

› Transitional provisions in respect of existing registrations under the current wording of Class O
› The potential scenario where the service provider, due to an unknown unilateral act of its client that causes the client’s tax status to change, may automatically fall foul of the requirements of Class O and potentially be conducting unauthorised business.

Which have now been resolved through the inclusion of additional provisions.

1.1.6 A copy of the draft Amending Order, setting out the proposed amendment to the wording of Class O is attached as Appendix B.

1.2 What is proposed and why?

1.2.1 The proposed changes to Class O consulted upon in the Original CP have not changed in any substantive way, so this consultation does not seek responses to that aspect of the revised wording.

1.2.2 The purpose of this consultation is to seek responses on the two additional provisions which have been included to address the Difficulties.
1.2.3 The first provision, at sub-paragraph (2)(a) of the proposed revised wording in the FSB Order, is a transitional provision whereby the provider of the service which met the requirements for Class O before the proposed Amending Order comes into effect will be treated as still being compliant for 6 months after its commencement or, if by then it has an application for registration in respect of another class of financial service business still outstanding, until that application is finally determined.

1.2.4 The second provision, at sub-paragraph (2)(b) of the proposed revised wording in the FSB Order, seeks to address the potential scenario where the service provider, due to an unknown unilateral act of its client that causes the client’s tax status to change, may automatically fall foul of the requirements of Class O and potentially be conducting unauthorised business. In this scenario, provision has been included to allow a non-compliant service provider to be treated as still compliant until 3 months after that non-compliance is discoverable by reasonable diligence.

1.2.5 A copy of the draft Amending Order, setting out the proposed amendment to wording of Class O is attached as Appendix B.

1.3 Who would be affected?

1.3.1 As explained in Sections 1.3.2 and 6.1.1 of the Original CP, the amendment to the wording of Class O will affect registered persons currently registered to conduct Class O financial service business who will not be able to satisfy the new criteria proposed.
2 Consultation

2.1 Basis for consultation

2.1.1 The JFSC has issued this consultation paper in accordance with Article 8(3) of the Commission Law, as amended, under which the Commission “may, in connection with the carrying out of its functions - ....consult and seek the advice of such persons or bodies whether inside or outside Jersey as it considers appropriate”.

2.2 Responding to the consultation

2.2.1 The JFSC invites comments in writing from interested parties on the proposals included in this consultation paper.

2.3 Next steps

2.3.1 Following the consideration of responses to this consultation and the making of any amendments deemed necessary to the Amending Order the JFSC will recommend the Chief Minister make the Amending Order. If the Chief Minister makes the Amending Order it will come into force one month thereafter.
3 The JFSC

3.1 Overview

3.1.1 The JFSC is a statutory body corporate established under the Commission Law. It is responsible for the supervision and development of financial services provided in or from within Jersey.

3.2 JFSC’s functions

3.2.1 The Commission Law prescribes that the JFSC shall be responsible for:

3.2.1.1 The supervision and development of financial services provided in or from within Jersey

3.2.1.2 Providing the States, any Minister or any other public body with reports, advice, assistance and information in relation to any matter connected with financial services

3.2.1.3 Preparing and submitting to the Minister recommendations for the introduction, amendment or replacement of legislation appertaining to financial services, companies and other forms of business structure

3.2.1.4 Such functions in relation to financial services or such incidental or ancillary matters:

› As are required or authorised by or under any enactment
› As the States may, by Regulations, transfer

3.2.1.5 Such other functions as are conferred on the JFSC by any other Law or enactment.

3.3 Guiding principles

3.3.1 The JFSC’s guiding principles require it to have particular regard to:

3.3.1.1 The reduction of risk to the public of financial loss due to dishonesty, incompetence, malpractice, or the financial unsoundness of persons carrying on the business of financial services in or from within Jersey

3.3.1.2 The protection and enhancement of the reputation and integrity of Jersey in commercial and financial matters

3.3.1.3 The best economic interests of Jersey

3.3.1.4 The need to counter financial crime in both Jersey and elsewhere.
4 Proposed Changes to Class O

4.1 The amendment

4.1.1 The proposed new description of Class O, which will replace the existing wording in its entirety, is set out in the Amending Order, at Appendix B of this consultation paper.

4.1.2 As consulted upon in the Original CP the new wording seeks to make it clear that a Class O registration is available where it is only natural persons who are tax-resident in Jersey that benefit, either directly or through ownership of one or more companies incorporated in Jersey, from the particular services listed. In summary, the new criteria will only permit the service to be provided where the ultimate clients are natural persons who are all resident in Jersey for income tax purposes.

4.1.3 Respondents generally supported the proposed new definition and therefore this consultation only seeks responses in respect of the incidental Difficulties identified, as explained at Section 1.1.5 above, and the proposed amendments to address those Difficulties, as explained at Section 1.2 above.

4.1.4 This change to the criteria of Class O may mean that some holders of Class O registration may no longer qualify for the “lighter touch” regulation that is a feature of Class O. However, transitional provisions have been included to allow current holders of Class O registration a period of 6 months from the date of the coming into force of the Amending Order in which to either exit any such non-compliant relationships or seek to apply to the JFSC for registration under another relevant class of financial service business.

4.1.5 A further provision has also been included which seeks to address the potential scenario where a service provider may for a period of time be unaware that the income tax residency of its ultimate client has changed and thus the Class O criteria no longer met. In such a scenario, provision has been made to allow such non-compliant provider to be treated as compliant until 3 months after that non-compliance was discoverable by reasonable diligence. Again, in such a scenario, where non-compliance may continue the holder of Class O registration may need to either exit any such non-compliant relationships or seek to apply to the JFSC for registration under another relevant class of financial service business.

Question 1: If there is any reason why the proposed provisions to address the Difficulties may cause an issue, please provide an explanation.

Question 2: Do you have any observations or concerns with the proposed transitional provisions? If so, please explain including what alternative proposals you would suggest.

Question 3: Do you consider that there should be other transitional provisions in relation to the change to the wording of Class O? If so, please explain why you consider it necessary, where such provisions are needed and suggestions for what they should comprise.
5 Cost Benefit Analysis

5.1 Costs to Industry

5.1.1 As explained in Sections 1.3.2 and 6.1.1 of the Original CP the amendment to the wording of Class O will affect registered persons currently registered to conduct such financial service business who will not be able to satisfy the new criteria proposed.

5.2 Costs to the JFSC

5.2.1 It is not expected that the JFSC will incur any additional costs as a result of the proposed amendment.

5.3 Benefits

5.3.1 Industry and the JFSC alike will benefit from the clarification made by way of this amendment as to the intended purpose of Class O and those that may benefit from the ‘lighter touch’ regulation and reduced fees.
## 6 Summary of questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><strong>Question 1:</strong> If there is any reason why the proposed provisions to address the Difficulties may cause an issue, please provide an explanation. If there is any reason why the proposed provisions to address the Difficulties may cause an issue, please provide an explanation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><strong>Question 2:</strong> Do you have any observations or concerns with the proposed transitional provisions? If so, please explain including what alternative proposals you would suggest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><strong>Question 3:</strong> Do you consider that there should be other transitional provisions in relation to the change to the wording of Class O? If so, please explain why you consider it necessary, where such provisions are needed and suggestions for what they should comprise.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 Appendix A

List of representative bodies who have been sent this consultation paper:

› Jersey Association of Trust Companies
› Jersey Finance Limited
› Jersey Society of Chartered and Certified Accountants
8 Appendix B

Financial Services (Financial Service Business) (Amendment No. 2) (Jersey) Order 201-

http://www.jerseyfsc.org/the_commission/general_information/consultation_papers/consultation_papers.asp